

Appln. No. 10/090,647
Reply dated March 27, 2009
Reply to Office Action of November 28, 2008

Appln. No.	:	10/090,647	Confirmation No. 6097
Applicant	:	James E. McGwin, Jr.	
Filed	:	March 4, 2002	
TC/A.U.	:	3629	
Examiner	:	Ouellette, Jonathan P.	
Docket No.	:	2691-001	
Customer No.	:	22208	

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


APPLICANT'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Examiner Ouellette conducted a telephonic interview with Applicant's attorney, Jon L. Roberts, on March 26, 2009.

Independent Claims 1 was discussed with respect to a rejection under 35 USC 103 in view of Aranapuram et al.

Applicant and Examiner agreed that amendments to the claims to particularly point out that a specifically identified process was to be monitored over an alternative communication mechanism when a message was not acknowledged, together with notification to recipients of "recipient-specific" contextual information was not disclosed in Aranapuram et al. alone or in combination with other references of record. Applicant agreed to make the changes and submit an office action response as soon as possible.

Respectfully submitted,



Jon L. Roberts
Registration No. 31,293
The Marbury Law Group, PLLC
11800 Sunrise Valley Drive, Suite 1000
Reston, VA 20191-5302
(703) 391-2900